Honors Core Outcomes Assessment	Thoughtful pursuit of answers to open- ended questions	Appropriate use of evidence	Appreciation of variety of competing answers
EXCELLENCE at the developing level includes:	Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated, described, and clarified so that understanding is not seriously impeded by omissions. Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) takes into account the complexities of an issue.	Information is taken from source(s) with enough interpretation/evaluation to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis.	Identifies own and others' assumptions and several relevant contexts when presenting a position. Others' points of view are acknowledged within position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis). Viewpoints of experts are subject to questioning.
ADEQUACY at the developing level includes:	Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated but description leaves some terms undefined, ambiguities unexplored, boundaries undetermined, and/or backgrounds unknown. Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) acknowledges different sides of an issue	Information is taken from source(s) with some interpretation/evaluation, but not enough to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis.	Questions some assumptions. Identifies several relevant contexts when presenting a position. May be more aware of others' assumptions than one's own (or vice versa). Viewpoints of experts are taken as mostly fact, with little questioning.
INADEQUACY at the developing level includes:	Issue/problem to be considered critically is stated without clarification or description. Specific position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis) is stated, but is simplistic and obvious.	Information is taken from source(s) without any interpretation/evaluation.	Shows an emerging awareness of present assumptions (sometimes labels assertions as assumptions). Begins to identify some contexts when presenting a position Viewpoints of experts are taken as fact, without question.